# Hatchard Report

Dr. Guy Hatchard

First we set out the background, later we will draw out the implications as we stand on the threshold of an unfettered era of biotech experimentation.

Click here to play 00:00

00:00

An article in the 28th March NY Times is entitled Top F.D.A. Vaccine Official Resigns, Citing Kennedy's 'Misinformation and Lies'. It reports the 'resignation' of Dr Peter Marks, who led the FDA's Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER), which authorised and monitored the safety of vaccines and a wide array of other treatments, including cell and gene therapies. In a statement, Dr. Marks attacked Robert F. Kennedy Jnr., Health and Human Services Secretary, writing "It has become clear that truth and transparency are not desired by the Secretary, but rather he wishes subservient confirmation of his misinformation and lies." This was a smoke screen.

The truth of the matter is rather different. To put it bluntly, Marks was sacked. During the pandemic, Marks overrode safety concerns expressed by senior members of his staff and granted emergency approval for COVID-19 vaccines. He also spearheaded the drive to approve COVID-19 vaccines for children. Marks is not actually a vaccinologist, yet he was overriding the advice of staff more qualified than himself. He went further, in 2021 he ousted Dr. Gruber and Dr. Krause, the top scientists at the Office of Vaccine Research, due to *"intransigence"* of these real vaccine experts to not ram through the approval of COVID-19 vaccines. Drs. Gruber and Krause had voiced concerns that they needed more time to understand the safety of the vaccine especially as it relates to inflammation of the heart, now a well known and accepted toxicity of the COVID-19 vaccines.

It went wider than that, using its masterly grasp of understatement, the Times article admitted "[Marks] *had come under criticism for being overly generous to companies that sought approvals for therapies with mixed evidence of a benefit.*'In fact, Marks had routinely approved gene products which had failed trials and which had shown a high risk of product failure and/or adverse effects. In other words, Marks was well known at the agency for cutting safety corners in a way that would benefit pharmaceutical/biotech companies and/or facilitate the political agenda of the Biden administration. Marks approved the use of COVID-19 vaccines for children despite the known fact that children have an extremely low risk of serious health effects of COVID-19 infection and yet a known significant increased risk of serious vaccine related toxicity.

Among other cases, Marks approved muscular dystrophy drug ELEVIDYS which had failed to reach a patient benefit threshold and caused liver failure in 22% of recipients and a death. He also approved Alzheimer's treatment ADUHELM against the strident objections of his staff and advisory board, a drug with a clear lack of efficacy which carried risk of serious toxicity including brain swelling and bleeding that could be life threatening. Marks' approval of ADUHELM was subsequently rescinded and a congressional inquiry found there were '*unusually close*' interactions with Biogen, the drug's developer.

The significance of Marks' sacking needs to be understood. Under US law vaccine manufacturers enjoy freedom from any liability if a vaccine is approved for emergency use. That immunity lapses when the vaccine is included on the adult vaccine register, but is reinstated if the vaccine becomes part of the childhood vaccine schedule. Marks' personal decisions at the FDA, against the advice of agency experts, have effectively shielded COVID-19 vaccine manufacturers from liability.

We can now turn to the Gene Technology Bill currently before Parliament here in New Zealand. The Bill appoints a Regulator to take decisions approving biotechnology experimentation, GM crops, biotech medicines and procedures, etc. A position not dissimilar to that of Marks at the FDA. The Bill also includes *Clause 187–Protection from Civil and Criminal Liability* which shields the regulator, his staff, employees, advisors, etc from any liability for their decisions. In effect, the Bill is introducing a system that is wide open to the type of manipulation that Marks undertook at the FDA

#### **Hatchard Report**

At the weekend, we released an article entitled "Weight loss drug enables you to swap one disease for dozens of others". The day after our release the Trump administration announced the cancellation of Medicare funding for weight loss drugs, apparently in response to the high rate of side effects. In complete contrast and against the run of evidence, we reported that Wegovy has just been approved in New Zealand for weight loss despite the growing international record of serious side effects. New Zealand Associate Health Minister David Seymour MP commented that he wants to *hurry up the approval of new drugs*. Opening our regulators to the kind of pressure that the Biden administration put on the FDA which led to the deadly fiascos overseen by Marks.

The New Zealand Gene Technology Bill sets out the most permissive biotechnology regime in the world. The net effect of clauses in the Gene Technology Bill and ramped up political pressure will be to bypass standard precautionary drug safety principles and hurry the approval and use of unsafe ineffective drugs. A repeat of pandemic policy decisions taken by poorly informed politicians, their advisors and medical authorities. No wonder they want to include a clause in the Gene Technology Bill freeing them from any civil or criminal liability. We are really curious how our politicians managed to get their head into a space where they boast they are improving public health, yet all those involved need a blanket protection from any liability whatsoever?

The Bill before Parliament is no routine piece of legislation, it deals with the deregulation of experiments which alter the foundation of life. The Bill is so permissive that a great many types of biotech experiments using CRISPR gene editing are being automatically waved through without even having to pass the desk of the regulator. In addition, products and procedures approved by overseas regulators are mandated for approval here in New Zealand, no questions asked.

There is a very big problem with this approach. CRISPR gene editing is not working in the way that was anticipated ten years ago when there was talk of it tackling society's biggest killers: cardiovascular disease, cancer, and diabetes. But despite billions of dollars, dozens of startup launches, and some advances, that dream feels further away than ever, reports the biotech and pharma business news site Endpoints News. In fact scientists ignored early warning signs that were reported in Nature as far back as 2018 when authors Michael Kosicki, Kärt Tomberg and Allan Bradley delivered their warning in unmistakable terms:

#### **Hatchard Report**

"In the clinical context of editing many billions of cells, the multitude of different mutations generated makes it likely that one or more edited cells in each protocol would be endowed with an important pathogenic lesion. Such lesions may constitute a first carcinogenic 'hit' in stem cells and progenitors, which have a long replicative lifespan and may become neoplastic with time."

*"We found that changes in the DNA have been seriously underestimated before now,"* Bradley, a professor at Britain's Wellcome Sanger Institute, told Reuters. *"And anyone thinking of doing this in humans should proceed with great caution."* 

# Instead of heeding the warnings, scientists went ahead and facilitated a truly reckless era of experimentation that initiated a global pandemic.

Our genes form an information system which reaches back through the generations into the far distant past, back to the beginning of time. All of life's lessons learned are stored in our genes, those that involve health, intelligence, food, happiness, the whole of life as we know it. Lines of ancestry are threads of knowledge. Thrown together in society they form the shared knowledge base of our civilization. Genes are not the kind of history found in dusty libraries, but a living presence preserving and promoting life utilising the life lessons of the past. Genes also reach down their roots into the smallest time and distant scales of the universe, they are continuously connected with the universal laws of nature promoting Cosmic evolution. Because of this, genes are not just personal, they are part of an interconnected network of information that supports life as we know it.

Thus genes form a Cosmic switchboard connected to the ocean of natural law—the knowledge base of the universe. Performing untold trillions of actions with a frequency and reach right down to the infinitesimal Planck scale of 10-43 seconds and 1.6 x 10-35 meters. Beyond that even, into the self-referral structure of the unified level of natural law, which is identical with the field of consciousness.

**Knowledge is structured in consciousness.** Society is a vast play of knowledge taking place within the unbounded ocean of consciousness. Thus our genes play a crucial role in enabling us to enjoy the support of the ultimate reality.

#### **Hatchard Report**

**Knowledge has organising power.** Genetic structures, being the means to connect with knowledge, have organising power. Genetic sequences are not static, it is their nature to perform actions. Once edited, our past is rewritten, novel genetic sequences can initiate entirely alien processes. Edited genetic structures are outside of the evolved web of genetic knowledge integrated across history, time and space and connected with universal consciousness. Thus they can destabilise reality. During the last five years we have had a brief glimpse into the power of genetic experimentation to undermine human health and civilisation. The chaotic state of the world today is no accidental happenstance. It is just the beginning of a war on humanity.

This is a war like no other ever imagined. This is not the type of global war we have been fearing, but something more insidious and even more pervasively harmful. As we have reported, for years public relations firms working for pharmaceutical giants and biotech startups have been preparing the ground, deceiving the public into thinking that this is a war on ill health and a gateway to a bright future of long life and happiness. To achieve this deception, it has been necessary to paper over the cracks by hiding the published scientific facts and ignoring the abject failures. It has been necessary to stifle open debate, as Dr Peter Marks did at the FDA, as our government working with the media did in New Zealand, as the medical authorities achieved by silencing doctors asking questions.

The New Zealand Gene Technology Bill opens the door wide. Whether the people promoting this Bill know what they are doing or not, their actions are challenging the rule of natural law, the great Cosmic order which begins with universal consciousness which is a most treasured experience and highest attainment of human life. No wonder they want protection from civil and criminal liability. But there is another kind of law–Cosmic law. One thing leads to another, every action has an equal and opposite reaction. As you sow so shall you reap. The destruction of the delicately balanced web of genetic order will inevitably initiate a downward spiral for those who participate. This is a time to hold onto the self. A time to hold on to natural law. A time to remember the lessons of the past. A time to value cultural and traditional knowledge. A time to eat wisely. A time to speak up before it is too late. Not a time to leap off the edge into the unknown darkness below.

Some people are thinking the Gene Technology Bill can be adjusted. Perhaps a few more safeguards or restrictions on open field crops. They are imagining this as just a routine piece of legislative business. This is not the case, it is a bridge too far deep into enemy territory. Closer examination of the promised benefits and faux scientific justifications shows them to be out of date, misleading or just plain false. The Gene Technology Bill needs to be abandoned.

Dr. Guy Hatchard 07 April 2025